

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/687,472 | 10/16/2003 | Louis Edward Behrens | ROC920030241US1 | 8869 |
| 30206 | 7590 02/24/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| IBM CORPORATION | | | PHAM, MINH CHAU THI | |
| ROCHESTER | R IP LAW DEPT. 917 | | T | |
| 3605 HIGHW | 'AY 52 NORTH | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ROCHESTER | R, MN 55901-7829 | | 1724 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED, 02/24/200 | _ |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary Examiner Minh-Chau T. Pham The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence ad Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ldress | | | |
|---|---------------|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence ad Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ldress | | | |
| Minh-Chau T. Pham The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence ad Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence ad Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | |
| Status | ommunication. | | | |
| | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the | e merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected. | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1:85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF | FR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PT | O-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | , | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | _ | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National | Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO | \ 450\ | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/687,472

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3, 6-16, 18-22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kiilunen (6,780,216 B2), in view of Eisenhauer (6,699,301 B1).

Kiilunen discloses a protective enclosure (42) for an electronic device (22) comprising a filter assembly (42) constructed for at least partially enclosing the electronic device (22) for controlling air flow and trapping particulates (col. 2, lines 60-64; col. 3, lines 51-60; col. 5, lines 4-15). Claims 1-3, 6-16, 18-22 and 24 differ from the disclosure of Kiilunen in that the filter provides EMC shielding. Eisenhauer discloses a filter providing EMC shielding effective for suppressing external detection of electromagnetic emissions from an electronic device (col. 1, line 49 through col. 2, line

Page 3

2; col. 6, lines 19-29; col. 7, lines 4-7). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an EMC shielding as taught by Eisenhauer in the apparatus of Kiilunen so that no electromagnetic radiation passes inward or outward through the walls of the electronic device.

Claims 4, 5, 17, 23 and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kiilunen (6,780,216 B2), in view of Eisenhauer (6,699,301 B1), as applied supra, and further in view of Jeffries et al (6,705,787 B2).

Claims 4, 5, 17, 23 and 25-27 call for a flexible portion attached to the filter over the keyboard. Jeffries et al disclose a flexible portion (10) for placement over a keyboard (16) which is constructed of resiliently flexible transparent membrane. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a flexible membrane over the keyboard as taught by Jeffries et al in the filter apparatus of Kiilunen and Eisenhauser since the transparent portion over the keyboard would allow the user to manipulate the keyboard without affecting the function of the filter bag over the electronic device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau T. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am -5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,472

Art Unit: 1724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

Minh-Chau Pham Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 1724

February 22, 2005